

21st Century Public Servant - what drives people's performance?

Nigel Carruthers, Senior Advisor, LGA

Dr Martin Reddington, MD, MRA Ltd

#LGAworkforce



21C PS Themes



A 21C Public Services Organisation..

..is a municipal entrepreneur

..engages with citizens in a way that expresses shared humanity & pools expertise ..recruits and rewards for generic skills as well as technical expertise ..builds careers which are fluid across sectors and services ..combines an ethos of publicness with an understanding of commerciality ..is rethinking public services to survive in an era of perma-austerity ..will be fluid and supportive rather than silo-ed and controlling ..rejects heroic leadership in favour of distributed and collaborative models ..is rooted in a locality which frames a sense of loyalty and identity ..reflects on practice and learns from others





This workshop is a game of two halves

The first half to share with you our insights from the work we have done on engagement & performance..

..the second to show how our research on engagement re-orientates its self with the 21st Century public Servant themes...

The time is for learning and sharing

Since 2012, the LGA and MRA Ltd have worked with local authorities, NHS trusts and healthcare providers to measure workforce performance by looking at the quality of the employment relationship, which is expressed as an exchange of contributions - a dynamic and social exchange process between employer and employee - what we call the 'deal'.

Reduced sickness - Engaged employees in the UK take an average of 2.69 sick days per year; the disengaged take 6.19. Average in LG is 8.8 days, 40% sickness is long term and a third of all sickness relates to stress and mental health.

Improved customer service - 70% of engaged employees indicate they have a good understanding of how to meet customer needs; only 17% of non-engaged employees say the same.

Retention - Engaged employees are 87% less likely to leave the organisation than the disengaged. The cost of high turnover among disengaged employees is significant; some estimates put the cost of replacing each employee at equal to annual salary. There is a 13.4% turnover rate in LG. 1 in 10 councils currently has a recruitment freeze and there is a 9.7% vacancy rate.

Advocacy - 78% of engaged employees would recommend their organisation's services compared with 13% of the disengaged

Better performance - Significant differences in financial performance of organisations with a highly-engaged workforce to their peers with a less-engaged workforce, over a 12 month period.

Highly Engaged Employees

High Performance workplaces

Do we understand how to engage our people more effectively to improve performance?

What do you do to engage your workforce? Where's your evidence base that what you do improves performance?

What would motivate you to do a better job?



Psychological Contract:

Biggest impact on employee contribution?

- Trust in the organisation
- Role Autonomy
- Trust in the line manager

Perceived organisational support :

Biggest impact on employee contribution?

- Supportive organisational culture
- <mark>Encouragement to use</mark> initiative
- Feeling valued



What does "trust in the organisation" look like for you ?

For me personally, in comparison to my friends who work within the private sector, I have a better working life, I will have a pension later in life (hopefully), holidays, better protection within the council's policies and procedures.

Pay in not equal, rules for 1 and rules for another. different departments appear to be able to have higher grades for similar roles. You are expected to perform duties far beyond your pay scale and get no thanks for it

What does "supportive organisational culture " look like for you?

The most enjoyable part of the role has been having direct access to the corporate director who has shown complete commitment to, and support for the new role. This has enabled me to gain confidence in my own ability to influence and make an impact in my role quickly.

Lack of support when new procedures are implemented- managers seem to introduce new priorities without putting any support, training, and time in place for us. Mangers constantly 'reacting' rather than planning.

Balance of the Deal



Job engagement, Skills and capability were high

Organisational engagement (advocacy, loyalty, and a sense of value congruence with the organisation were low

Overall the balance of the deal in local government favours the employer

Conversational Practice

Engagement and performance improved when employees were *encouraged to speak openly* about opportunities and constraints and *given the opportunity to improve* things.



To achieve sustainable high performance, you need good quality conversational practices Negative impact (wellbeing, poor performance, low morale) occurred when

- People are expected to do more with less
- There is a tension between high quality service versus and the time available
- Personal objectives are out of sink with organisational objectives
- When job pressure was excessive

What do conversations focused on performance look like for you?

We regularly have discussions about how to improve service delivery. He asks for my input and listens to it, and enables me to challenge the status quo. Most recently this has resulted in improved business planning and a tasking process that allows us to use our resources more efficiently.

Sometimes when suggestions are put forward ... these are often ignored and pushed aside... In the long run, it affects the quality of service we are giving to our customers and eventually leads to poor performance and underachievement Developing a New Employment Deal for Local Government

Research Report April 2017

Fatima Elmi, Elmira Bakhshalian, Maryam Ahmadiyankooshkghazi, Martin Reddington







martin reddington associates

PP® Dr. Unlocking the puzzles of peak engagement and performance Elmira Bakhshalian, MSc, Associate CIPD Dr Martin Reddington, DBA, Academic Fellow CIPD

Re-orientating towards 21st Century Public Servant

Data from over approx. 20,000 employees reorientated to show both statistical and free text links with 21st Century Public Servant themes:

What did we find?



TEDD® Data and 21CPS







15* £1,000,000 14• £500,000 13• £250,000 12+ £125,000 11•£64,000 10+ £32,000 9•£16,000 8• £8,000 7•£4,000 6+ £2,000 5+£1,000 4+ £500 3+ £300 **2+ £200** 1+£100



15* £1,000,000 14• £500,000 13• £250,000 12+ £125,000 11•£64,000 10+ £32,000 9•£16,000 8• £8,000 7•£4,000 6+ £2,000 5+£1,000 4+ £500 3+ £300 **2+ £200** 1+£100



15* £1,000,000 14+ £500,000 13• £250,000 12+ £125,000 11+£64,000 10• £32,000 9•£16,000 8• £8,000 7*£4,000 6+ £2,000 5• £1,000 4• £500 3+ £300 2• £200 1+£100



15* £1,000,000 14+ £500,000 13• £250,000 12+ £125,000 11+£64,000 10• £32,000 9•£16,000 8• £8,000 7*£4,000 6+ £2,000 5• £1,000 4• £500 3+ £300 2• £200 1+£100



15* £1,000,000 14+ £500,000 13• £250,000 12+ £125,000 11+£64,000 10• £32,000 9•£16,000 8• £8,000 7*£4,000 6+ £2,000 5+£1.000 4+ £500 3+ £300 2+ £200 1+£100



15* £1,000,000 14+ £500,000 13• £250,000 12+ £125,000 11+£64,000 10• £32,000 9•£16,000 8• £8,000 7*£4,000 6+ £2,000 5+£1,000 4+ £500 3+ £300 2+ £200 1+£100



£8,000

A: Staff with > 1 Years Service

C: Non-Managers

B: Managers

D: Staff with < 10 Years Service

50:50

15* £1,000,000 14+ £500,000 13• £250,000 12+ £125,000 11+£64,000 10+ £32,000 9+£16,000 8+ £8,000 7*£4,000 6+ £2,000 5•£1,000 4• £500 3+ £300 **2+ £200** 1+£100

ممح



£8,000

Staff with > 1 Years Service

C: Non-Managers

B: Managers

D: Staff with < 10 Years Service

50:50

15* £1,000,000 14+ £500,000 13• £250,000 12+ £125,000 11+£64,000 10• £32,000 9+£16,000 8+ £8,000 7*£4,000 6+ £2,000 5•£1,000 4• £500 3+ £300 **2+ £200** 1+£100

ممح



£64,000

A: Less than 1 Year Service

C: Non-Manager

B: Senior Manager

50:50

D: 6 to 10 Years Service

15* £1,000,000 14+ £500,000 13• £250,000 12• £125,000 11+£64,000 10• £32,000 9+£16,000 8• £8,000 7*£4,000 6+ £2,000 5• £1,000 4• £500 3+ £300 **2+ £200** 1+£100

ممح



£64,000

A: Less than 1 Year Service

C: Non-Manager

B: Senior Manager

6 to 10 Years Service

50:50

15* £1,000,000 14• £500,000 13• £250,000 12• £125,000 11+£64,000 10• £32,000 9+£16,000 8• £8,000 7*£4,000 6+ £2,000 5• £1,000 4• £500 3+ £300 **2+ £200** 1+£100

೩೩೩



15* £1,000,000 14• £500,000 13• £250,000 12* £125,000 11+£64,000 10• £32,000 9•£16,000 8• £8,000 7*£4,000 6+ £2,000 5+£1,000 4• £500 3+ £300 **2+ £200** 1+£100



£250,000

13• £250,000 12* £125,000 11+£64,000 10• £32,000 9•£16,000 8• £8,000 7*£4,000 6+ £2,000 5+£1,000 4• £500 3+ £300 2• £200 1+£100

15* £1,000,000

14• £500,000

عمع

50:50





